#### No. SC23-1246

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

MONIQUE WORRELL,

Petitioner,

 $\nu$ .

RON DESANTIS, as Governor of the State of Florida,

Respondent.

#### MOTION TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER

James E. Felman, FBN 0775568
Katherine Earle Yanes, FBN 0159727
Kristin A. Norse, FBN 965634
Stuart C. Markman, FBN 322571
KYNES, MARKMAN & FELMAN, P.A.
P.O. Box 3396
Tampa, FL 33601-3396
Phone: (813) 229-1118
Fax: (813) 221-6750
jfelman@kmf-law.com
kyanes@kmf-law.com
knorse@kmf-law.com
smarkman@kmf-law.com

Counsel for the proposed amici

# UNOPPOSED MOTION BY 121 CURRENT AND FORMER PROSECUTORS, ATTORNEYS GENERAL, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS AND LEADERS, AND FORMER JUDGES, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS AND FEDERAL OFFICIALS FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER

Amici curiae, a bipartisan group of 121 current and former prosecutors, Attorneys General, law enforcement officials and leaders, and former judges, United States Attorneys and federal officials (collectively, "movants"), respectfully move this Court for leave to file an amicus brief, a copy of which is attached hereto, in support of Petitioner Monique Worrell's Petition for Writs of Quo Warranto and Mandamus and any future dispositive motions that may be filed in this case. As explained below, movants have significant knowledge and expertise relevant to the subject matter of this litigation that will aid the Court's consideration.

#### **MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

Movants are current and former prosecutors, Attorneys General, law enforcement officials and leaders, and former judges, United States Attorneys and federal officials who are committed to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A full list of *Amici* is attached as Appendix A to the proposed *amicus* brief.

protecting the integrity of our justice system and its democratic core values.

Voters have the right to be governed by a State Attorney they duly elected to promote their values and vision of justice. Well-settled legal principles require that prosecutors be able to exercise their prosecutorial independence and discretion to pursue justice on behalf of the local community that elected them. Allowing governors to disregard the critical role and autonomy of prosecutors, and the will of voters, upsets the careful balance of roles and responsibilities delegated to local as well as state actors by the state constitution.

Here, Governor DeSantis's suspension of Ninth Judicial Circuit Monique Worrell undermines State Attorney prosecutorial independence and strips the community of its duly elected official, replacing her with a non-elected state attorney appointed by him. By politicizing the justice system, Governor DeSantis's undermines confidence in the integrity of the election process, erodes trust in the criminal legal system and the fair administration of justice, and therefore negatively impacts public safety. Movants have a strong interest in the proper functioning of our justice system that may be adversely affected by the decision in this case.

Movants' brief addresses arguments that the Petition does not fully cover, and does so from a broader national perspective than the parties are positioned to provide. Movants bring extensive experience in the judicial, legal and law enforcement arena and have a deep understanding of the important role that elected prosecutors play in the criminal legal system. Because the issues this case raises have national significance, Movants come not only from Florida, but also from jurisdictions across the country. Although Movants' views on particular policy choices may differ, Movants come together in the steadfast belief that Florida's State Attorneys should be elected by the people of their counties, and not appointed by the Governor. We also resolutely believe that a governor's exercise of authority to suspend a duly elected State Attorney should be used sparingly, and only when based on clear, concrete, factually and legally supported constitutional grounds, which do not exist in this case.

In the *amicus* brief accompanying this motion, Movants discuss the well-settled principles of prosecutorial independence that protect prosecutors from political interference; the need to protect the right of the local community to choose a prosecutor that reflects and promotes its vision of justice; and the importance of ensuring that Florida's laws are construed narrowly and carefully to preclude the governor from undermining the voters' will without a concrete, well-supported and legally valid basis. The brief also stresses the crucial role public trust plays in advancing public safety and the damage done by actions that fracture bonds of trust and erode faith in the proper functioning of democratic systems and the rule of law.

Movants seek to offer the diverse and expert perspective of numerous respected current and former prosecutors, Attorneys General, law enforcement officials and leaders, and former judges, U.S. Attorneys and federal officials from around the country who bring to the mix many decades of experience—a perspective that is currently absent from, but critical to, this litigation. Consideration of Movants' submission will thus "assist the court in resolving cases of general public interest or and in resolving difficult issues that have an impact beyond the parties to the litigation." *Liberty Counsel v. Florida Bd. of Governors*, 12 So. 3d 183, 186 n.9 (Fla. 2009).

Counsel for *Amici* hereby certify that they have conferred with counsel for Petitioner Monique Worrell and Respondent Ron DeSantis, and neither party opposes the filing of the *amicus* brief requested in this motion.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons above, Movants respectfully request that this Court permit them to appear as *Amici Curiae* and allow them to file the attached *amicus* brief.

Dated: September 18, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James E. Felman

James E. Felman, FBN 775568
Katherine Earle Yanes, FBN 159727
Kristin A. Norse, FBN 965634
Stuart C. Markman, FBN 322571
Kynes Markman & Felman, P.A.
P.O. Box 3396
Tampa, FL 33601-3396
813-229-1118
jfelman@kmf-law.com
kyanes@kmf-law.com
knorse@kmf-law.com

smarkman@kmf-law.com

Counsel for the proposed amici

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of September, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing amicus brief was e-filed though the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal and will be served via the portal upon the following counsel:

Jack E. Fernandez, Jr.
Sara Alpert Lawson

jfernandez@zuckerman.com
slawson@zuckerman.com

Devon Galloway dgalloway@zuckerman.com

Henry C. Whitaker, Solicitor General
Jeffrey Paul Desousa, Chief Deputy Solicitor General
David M. Costello, Deputy Solicitor General
Robert S. Schenck, Assistant Solicitor General
<a href="mailto:henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com">henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com</a>
<a href="mailto:henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com">henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com<

Ryan Newman Ryan.newman@eog.myflorida.com

Jeff Aaron
George Levesque
jeff.aaron@gray-robinson.com
george.levesque@gray-robinson.com
cindi.garner@gray-robinson.com
becky.emerson@gray-robinson.com

I further certify that the brief was served by U.S. Mail to the following parties:

Laura G. Ferguson Mark J. Rochon Miller & Chevalier Chartered 900 16th Street NW Black Lives Matter Plaza Washington, DC 20006

/s/ James E. Felman
James E. Felman

#### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I hereby certify that this motion complies with the font requirement by utilizing Bookman Old Style 14-point font as outlined in Rule 9.045(b) of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. This motion contains 797 words.

<u>/s/ James E. Felman</u> James E. Felman

#### No. SC23-1246

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

MONIQUE WORRELL,

Petitioner,

v.

RON DESANTIS, as Governor of the State of Florida,

Respondent.

## BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE 121 CURRENT AND FORMER PROSECUTORS, ATTORNEYS GENERAL, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS AND LEADERS, AND FORMER JUDGES, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS AND FEDERAL OFFICIALS IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER

James E. Felman, FBN 0775568 Katherine Earle Yanes, FBN 0159727 Kristin A. Norse, FBN 965634 Stuart C. Markman, FBN 322571 KYNES, MARKMAN & FELMAN, P.A. P.O. Box 3396

Tampa, FL 33601-3396 Phone: (813) 229-1118 Fax: (813) 221-6750 <u>jfelman@kmf-law.com</u> <u>kyanes@kmf-law.com</u> <u>knorse@kmf-law.com</u> <u>smarkman@kmf-law.com</u>

Counsel for the proposed amici

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

		<u>I II G D</u>
TAB]	LE OF	F AUTHORITIES iii
STA	ГЕМЕ	NT OF IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICI CURAIE 1
SUM	IMAR`	Y OF ARGUMENT2
ARG	UME	NT 4
I.	Inde	Suspension Order Interferes with Prosecutorial pendence, Which is Well-Settled and Integral to ecutors' Role as Ministers of Justice
II.		Suspension Order Usurps the Right of Florida Citizens ect Their State Attorney
III.	Gran	Suspension Order Exceeds the Suspension Authority ated to the Governor in the Constitution, Which Must arrowly Construed
	A.	The Florida Constitution Limits the Grounds for Suspension of an Elected Official, None of Which Were Satisfied by the Suspension Order
	В.	Democratic Principles Require the Governor's Suspension Authority to be Exercised With Great Caution
	C.	If The Current Suspension Order Is Sufficient to Form a Basis for Removal, There Are No Future Guardrails for Undemocratically Removing Elected Leaders
IV.	Trus	Suspension Order, If Allowed to Stand, Erodes Public t in the Justice System, and Thus Threatens Public ty

CONCLUSION	22
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	23
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	25
APPENDIX A: LIST OF AMICI	26

#### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<u>PAGE(S)</u>
Austin v. State ex rel. Christian, 310 So. 2d 289 (Fla. 1975)7
Boumediene v. Bush, 533 U.S. 723 (2008) 6
Cleveland v. State, 417 So. 2d 653 (Fla. 1982)5
Cosio v. State, 227 So.3d 209 (Fla. 2d DCA 2017)
Crowder v. State ex rel. Baker, 285 So.2d 33 (Fla App. 2D 1973)
Israel v. DeSantis, 269 So.3d 491 (Fla. 2019)
Jackson v. DeSantis, 268 So.3d 662 (Fla. 2019)14
Reynolds v. Sims, 377 U.S. 533 (1964)
Rolle v. State, 268 So. 2d 541 (Fla. 3d DCA 1972)5
State v. Bloom, 497 So. 2d 2 (Fla. 1986) 5
State v. Cain, 381 So. 2d 1361 (Fla. 1980)5
State ex rel. Hardee v. Allen, 172 So. 222 (Fla. 1937)

155 So. 129 (Fla. 1934)				
United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97 (1976)				
Warren v. DeSantis, F.Supp.3d, 2023 WL 345802 (N.D. Fla. 2023) 12, 14				
Whiley v. Scott, 79 So.3d 702 (Fla. 2011)				
OTHER AUTHORITIES				
Fla. Const. Art. I §1 6-7, 11				
Fla. Const. Art. IV §1				
Fla. Const. Art. VI §2				
Fla. Const. Art. IV §7				
Fla. Const. Art. V §17				
ABA Criminal Justice Standards for the Prosecution Function §3-1.2(a) (4th ed. 2017)				

#### STATEMENT OF IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici curiae are a bipartisan group of 121 current and former prosecutors, Attorneys General, law enforcement officials and leaders, and former judges, United States Attorneys and federal officials who are committed to protecting the integrity of our justice system. A full list of Amici is attached as Appendix A.

Amici bring decades of experience from judicial, law enforcement, and criminal justice leadership roles. As judicial, law enforcement, and criminal legal system leaders, Amici recognize the critical role of preserving prosecutorial independence, the need to insulate prosecutors from improper outside political pressures that can erode trust in the legitimacy and integrity of the justice system, and the dangers presented when these foundation and principles undermined.

Although *Amici* hail from various parts of the country and have experience in different areas of law enforcement and the criminal legal system, *Amici* share a deep concern about the consequences the Florida Governor's suspension of State Attorney Monique Worrell will have on the public's trust in the legal system and, more broadly, the corrosive effect this suspension will have on democratic institutions

and public safety. The Governor's authority to suspend a duly elected prosecutor should be exercised with great caution and supported by a legally sufficient factual basis—conditions that are far from satisfied in the Order to suspend SA Worrell (Fla. Exec. Order No. 23-160 (Aug. 9, 2023) (hereafter "the Suspension Order" or "the Order")). Instead, the Suspension Order is wholly lacking in any basis to conclude that SA Worrell engaged in "neglect of duty" or "incompetence." Sanctioning the undemocratic suspension of a duly elected prosecutor here creates the potential for future unfettered removal of local leaders based simply on the political and partisan whim of a state leader. Governor DeSantis's Order is not simply lawfully and constitutionally deficient but also, if allowed to stand, endangers and destabilizes the administration of justice in Florida and creates potential adverse ripple effects in other parts of the country. For all these reasons, the issues raised by the pending writs are of grave concern to Amici.

#### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Prosecutorial independence—and the duty to remain above the political fray—is a hallmark of our legal system and the pursuit of justice. Elected prosecutors wield significant power in the criminal

justice system, both in determining how cases are initiated and resolved on a day-to-day basis through a wide range of decisions, and in deciding how to best promote public safety. In carrying out these weighty decisions, elected prosecutors are ethically bound to pursue just results, protect fundamental rights, and serve as ministers of justice in their communities. Voters have the right to choose the elected prosecutor who best reflects their vision of justice, and the elected prosecutor, in turn, is accountable to his or her voters.

Under the Florida Constitution, the Governor's ability to suspend an elected prosecutor is not unfettered. A Governor does not have the authority to undermine the will of the voters by removing a prosecutor simply because he disagrees with her assessment of how to pursue public safety or he otherwise believes that vaguely defined data suggest she is not as effective as other elected prosecutors. Judging the performance and continued service of their elected prosecutors is a decision that rests with the voters, not the Governor. Upholding the Governor's suspension of SA Worrell in this case—without any constitutionally valid basis for doing so—would deprive voters of their duly elected representative, open the door to future unbounded and undemocratic removal of elected officials from office,

and erode public trust in the legal system.

When public trust in the justice system suffers, so does public safety. In order to combat crime, the legal system needs the full cooperation of the community, particularly victims and witnesses. When members of the community cannot rely on democratic norms, and do not think the system is fair, they may not feel compelled to participate in it. This limits the ability of police and prosecutors to seek justice and promote public safety, making everyone less safe.

For all of these reasons, *Amici* urge this Court to grant the pending writs and restore SA Worrell to office.

#### **ARGUMENT**

## I. The Suspension Order Interferes with Prosecutorial Independence, Which is Well-Settled and Integral to Prosecutors' Role as Ministers of Justice

"The prosecutor is an administrator of justice, a zealous advocate, and an officer of the court." ABA Criminal Justice Standards for the Prosecution Function §3-1.2(a) (4th ed. 2017) (ABA Criminal Justice Standards). Throughout a prosecutor's performance of his or her duties, "he [or she] must always be faithful to his [or her] client's overriding interest that 'justice shall be done." See United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97, 110-11 (1976) (citing Berger v. United States, 295)

U.S. 78, 88 (1935)); *Rolle v. State*, 268 So. 2d 541, 542 (Fla. 3d DCA 1972). Thus, the prosecutor serves the public interest and increases public safety not merely by convicting but "by pursuing appropriate criminal charges of appropriate severity, and by exercising discretion to not pursue criminal charges in appropriate circumstances." *See ABA Criminal Justice Standards* §3.12(b).

The exercise of "sound discretion and independent judgment" is critical to the performance of the prosecutorial function. See id. §3.12(a). The Florida Supreme Court has recognized that "[u]nder Florida's constitution, the decision to charge and prosecute is an executive responsibility, and the state attorney has complete discretion in deciding whether and how to prosecute." State v. Bloom, 497 So. 2d 2, 3 (Fla. 1986); see also State v. Cain, 381 So. 2d 1361, 1367 & n.8 (Fla. 1980) ("[T]he discretion of a prosecutor in deciding whether and how to prosecute is absolute in our system of criminal justice."); Cleveland v. State, 417 So. 2d 653, 654 (Fla. 1982) ("The state attorney has complete discretion in making the decision to charge and prosecute."). Prosecutorial independence is thus a wellsettled core principle in Florida, as well as in the rest of the nation.

Prosecutorial independence is vital to the integrity of our justice

system. Keeping weighty prosecution decision-making independent and protected from political interference, especially in a legal system that has the ability to take away a person's freedom and liberty, is essential to a well-functioning, healthy democracy. By suspending SA Worrell without any valid legal basis, and replacing her with a prosecutor appointed by him rather than elected by the people, Governor DeSantis upsets the careful balance of roles and responsibilities delegated to local as well as state actors and destabilizes prosecutorial independence that is integral to our system of justice.

### II. The Suspension Order Usurps the Right of Florida Citizens to Elect Their State Attorney

Voters have the right to choose their elected representatives—a right that is fundamental to United States and Florida law. *See Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U.S. 533, 555 (1964) ("The right to vote freely for the candidate of one's choice is of the essence of a democratic society[.]"); *see also* Fla. Const. Art. I §1 ("All political power is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Boumediene v. Bush, 533 U.S. 723, 797 (2008) ("Security subsists, too, in fidelity to freedom's first principles. Chief among these are freedom from arbitrary and unlawful restraint and the personal liberty that is secured by adherence to the separation of powers.").

inherent in the people."). Pursuant to the Florida Constitution, citizens of Florida elect State Attorneys for their respective judicial circuits to execute the duties of this office, including serving as the prosecuting officer of all trial courts in the applicable circuit and performing other duties prescribed by general law. See Fla. Const. Art. V §17. As this Court has noted, as "an elected official," [the State Attorney] is responsible to the electorate of his [or her] circuit, this being the traditional method in a democracy by which the citizenry may be assured that vast power will not be abused." Austin v. State ex rel. Christian, 310 So. 2d 289, 293 (Fla. 1975). Citizens of Florida separately elect a governor to execute the duties of that office, including, among others, transacting necessary business with the officers of government and taking responsibility for the planning and budgeting for the state. See Fla. Const. Art. IV §1.

In 2020, the citizens of Orange and Osceola Counties exercised their constitutional right to elect their State Attorney, and they overwhelmingly chose Monique Worrell.<sup>2</sup> During her time in office,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SA Worrell won the elections with nearly two thirds (65.7%) of the votes cast; *see* Election Results, Florida Department of State <a href="https://results.elections.myflorida.com/Index.asp?ElectionDate=11/3/2020">https://results.elections.myflorida.com/Index.asp?ElectionDate=11/3/2020</a>.

SA Worrell has consistently promoted reforms to realize her commitment to fairness and justice in the criminal legal system and her voters' vision of justice. She has created special victims and mental health units,<sup>3</sup> developed a new diversion program to help reduce recidivism,<sup>4</sup> and implemented policies to hold police officers accountable for misconduct.<sup>5</sup> She also convened an innovative violence prevention summit in her community, aimed at developing collaborative solutions to stop crime from happening before it occurred.<sup>6</sup> She has acted in accordance with her obligation to make

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Louis Bolden, Orange-Osceola State Attorney Creates Mental Click Orlando Health Unit. (Nov. 18, https://www.clickorlando.com/news/local/2021/11/18/orangeosceola-state-attorney-creates-mental-health-unit/; Desiree Stennett, Worrell Creates Special Victims Unit for Domestic Violence, (March Crime Cases. Orlando Sentinel 2022), https://www.orlandosentinel.com/2022/03/01/worrell-createsspecial-victims-unit-for-domestic-violence-sex-crime-cases/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Christopher Cann, DeSantis-appointed State Attorney Cancels Diversion Programs Following Worrell's Ouster, Orlando Sentinel (Sept. 7, 2023), <a href="https://www.orlandosentinel.com/2023/08/10/andrew-bain-monique-worrell-policy-changes/">https://www.orlandosentinel.com/2023/08/10/andrew-bain-monique-worrell-policy-changes/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Megan Mellado, Worrell Introduces New Policy Aimed at Keeping Officers Who Aren't Credible From Testifying, WESH2 (Aug. 4, 2021), <a href="https://www.wesh.com/article/worrell-brady-policy/37225941">https://www.wesh.com/article/worrell-brady-policy/37225941</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Marlei Martinez, State Attorney Monique Worrell Hosts Summit on Violence Prevention, WESH2 (May 23, 2023), <a href="https://www.wesh.com/article/monique-worrell-violence-prevention/43822780">https://www.wesh.com/article/monique-worrell-violence-prevention/43822780</a>.

her community safer and stronger—just as she promised to do.

If the community that elected Worrell disapproves of the way she is performing her job, there are democratic mechanisms in place that allow the community's voice to be heard: the voters could attempt to legally recall her before her term expires, or they could vote for a different State Attorney in the upcoming 2024 elections.

Instead, on August 9, 2023, Governor DeSantis decided to override the will of the people of Orange and Osceola Counties, by suspending SA Worrell based on unsupported allegations of "incompetence and negligence of her duties." *See* the Suspension Order, at 2. Governor DeSantis failed to identify any conduct or specific practice or policy that could justify SA Worrell's suspension and removal under the Florida Constitution. The Governor then replaced SA Worrell with an unelected lawyer, thereby supplanting local control over the community's vision for promoting public safety.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Moreover, the Florida Constitution provides that citizens "shall be an elector of the county where registered." Fla. Const. Art. VI §2. Governor DeSantis's overreach has, in effect, supplanted the decision-making of those citizens and misappropriated that right from the people of Orange and Osceola Counties by impermissibly allowing Floridians outside the county—who elected Governor

The proper way for the Governor to express a disagreement with SA Worrell's job as a prosecutor is to ask voters to support a new State Attorney in the next election—not by divesting voters of their most important and solemn obligation and right in a democracy: their participation in free and fair elections to choose who represents and serves them.

#### III. The Suspension Order Exceeds the Suspension Authority Granted to the Governor in the Constitution, Which Must Be Narrowly Construed

Governor DeSantis's Order to suspend SA Worrell exceeds the authority granted in the Florida Constitution. Although the Florida Constitution vests the Governor with "supreme executive power," his powers are not limitless. As this Court noted, even the phrase "supreme executive power" is "not so expansive," and the Constitution must be read as a "limitation, rather than a grant of, power" to a governor. Whiley v. Scott, 79 So.3d 702, 715 (Fla. 2011);

DeSantis—to dilute Orange and Osceola Counties voters' right to elect their own State Attorney. *See Reynolds*, 377 U.S. at 568 ("An individual's right to vote for state legislators is unconstitutionally impaired when its weight is in a substantial fashion diluted when compared with votes of citizens living in other parts of the State.").

see also Fla. Const. Art. I §1 ("All political power is inherent in the people.").

Governor DeSantis abused the limited grant of power in the executive by suspending an elected official because he disagrees with her—and the voters who elected her. He has declared that he—and not the State Attorney or voters who elected her—decides how justice will be pursued in their community. If allowed to stand, this decision will open the door to a no holds barred future removal of duly elected local officials that threatens the essence of our democratic processes.

## A. The Florida Constitution Limits the Grounds for Suspension of an Elected Official, None of Which Were Satisfied by the Suspension Order

Article IV §7 of Florida's Constitution provides that a governor "may" suspend an elected official from office for "malfeasance, misfeasance, neglect duty, drunkenness, of incompetence, permanent inability to perform official duties, or commission of a felony." The Order here makes no attempt to claim there are sufficient malfeasance, misfeasance, drunkenness, grounds based on commission of a felony, or permanent inability to perform official duties. Instead, the Order dresses up what appears to be, at best,

policy disagreements as vague claims of incompetence and neglect of duty.8

A review of how section 7 has been interpreted in the past shows that far more than policy disagreements must be relied upon to fall within the scope of the governor's suspension authority. A governor's power to remove an elected official is "limited" to the reasons specified in §7. State ex rel. Hardie v. Coleman, 155 So. 129, 130 (Fla. 1934). And one court has already told this governor that "[r]unning a state attorney's office is the state attorney's job, not the governor's. A governor cannot properly suspend a state attorney based on policy differences." Warren v. DeSantis, \_\_ F.Supp.3d \_\_, 2023 WL 345802, \* 1 (N.D. Fla. 2023) (emphasis added).

Further, the grounds specified in section 7—which should be interpreted in relation to one another9—indicate that there is a high

<sup>8</sup> For a summary of the many flaws and deficiencies in the Order *see* Petition for Writs of Quo Warranto and Mandamus, pp. 5-8, 11-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Cosio v. State, 227 So.3d 209, 213 (Fla. 2d DCA 2017) (courts rely on the doctrine of noscitur a sociis, also known as the "associated word canon" to "avoid ascribing to one word a meaning so broad that it is inconsistent with its accompanying words" (quoting Gustafson v. Alloyd Co., 513 U.S. 561, 575 (1995)).

bar for suspension and removal. For instance, this Court has defined "malfeasance" and "misfeasance" to address conduct that would be similar to that of an official committing a felony; "malfeasance" is limited to "evil conduct" or an "illegal deed" and "misfeasance" is limited to performing the job in an "illegal manner." Hardie, 155 So. at 132. Similarly, this Court defined "incompetence" for §7 purposes as applicable to a "physical, moral, or intellectual quality" that "incapacitates one to perform the duties of his office", and "neglect of duty" as a neglect or failure to perform duties required by virtue of the office, and such neglect of duty is gross when the "neglect is grave and the frequency of it is such as to endanger or threaten the public welfare." Israel v. DeSantis, 269 So.3d 491, 496-97 (Fla. 2019). This high bar for conduct to be considered "neglect of duty" or "incompetence" is consistent both with the other grounds enumerated and with the limited grant of suspension authority conveyed to the governor.

In prior cases in which a governor's discretion to suspend an elected official has been applied and upheld, the conduct far

exceeded mere disagreements or vague assertions. See State ex rel. Hardee v. Allen, 172 So. 222 (Fla. 1937) (upholding a governor's decision to remove a solicitor of criminal court of record for neglect of duty when the solicitor for two years failed to prosecute gambling offenses, including two such offenses that were committed in the presence of the solicitor himself and members of law enforcement); Jackson v. DeSantis, 268 So.3d 662, 663 (Fla. 2019) (upholding a decision to remove a superintendent of schools based on information from a grand jury investigation that drew a direct line between the superintendent's actions and a systemic failure that permitted the abuse of children).

On the other hand, courts have ruled that when reasons for the suspension are too vague, they are insufficient. See *Crowder v. State ex rel. Baker*, 285 So.2d 33, 35 (Fla App. 2D 1973) (reasons cited in the suspension order by the governor were too "vague and indefinite" to support the suspension order); *Warren*, 2023 WL 345802, \* 5 (a "minimally competent investigation" would have shown that the governor's allegations for suspension were insufficient). Therefore, it is clear that even if Governor DeSantis or this Court disagrees with

the manner in which SA Worrell—or any elected official—conducts her job, when those disagreements are based on reasoned, policy or other decisions well within her lawful exercise of discretion, they do not and cannot amount to justification for suspension.

## B. Democratic Principles Require the Governor's Suspension Authority to be Exercised With Great Caution

Florida's Constitution and the powers given to the executive should not be subject to the political whims of any one politician or any party. As Governor DeSantis himself has said, prosecutor offices and decisions on who and how to prosecute should not be "weaponize[d]" to "impose a political agenda." The Governor may wholly disagree with how SA Worrell runs her office. And he is free to say so. He is also free to endorse anyone who campaigns against her. What he is *not* free to do is impose his political views on the duly elected official who runs the office.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Maggie Haberman and Jonathan Swan, *DeSantis, Breaking Silence* on *Trump, Criticize Manhattan Prosecutor*, N.Y. Times (March 20, 2023),

https://www.nytimes.com/2023/03/20/us/politics/desantis-trump-indictment.html.

Today's case presents an abuse of power by a Republican governor attacking a Democratic prosecutor. But if this Order is allowed to stand, tomorrow it could be a Democratic governor suspending Republican officials. This Court must not permit a situation where every elected official has to fear being suspended anytime a new governor with a different set of political priorities and values enters office. More importantly, voters should not fear that their votes for local officials would be rendered meaningless by the political whim of whoever happens to be governor.

Whether applying section 7 to this governor, the next governor, or a governor decades from now, the suspension authority must remain limited to suspending officials whose egregious conduct warrants immediate action, without having to wait for an election. The executive power to suspend, though limited, is massive in its implications—single-handedly making the decision an elected official should no longer serve—which is why this Court must ensure it is wielded and upheld in a limited manner. It must be used carefully and no court should condone its use when it relies on vague allegations, policy disagreements, and hyperbole about violent criminals or the need to be "tough on crime."

## C. If The Current Suspension Order Is Sufficient to Form a Basis for Removal, There Are No Future Guardrails for Undemocratically Removing Elected Leaders

As noted above, suspension by a governor is permitted only to address misconduct, malfeasance, misfeasance, felonious behavior, incapacity, incompetence, or neglect of office. It *must* be a high bar. But if the governor's determination to suspend a prosecutor whom he disagrees with is permitted to continue, the floodgates will open to allow removal far beyond what the constitution ever contemplated. Any guardrails for the future will be off. Thus, if this Order is not invalidated, future elected leaders in Florida will serve at the whim of the governor – not the will of the people.

Everyone elected to office has to make decisions, based on time and resource restraints, on how best to perform the duties of the office. It goes without saying that not every decision will be correct and voters will not always agree with those decisions. That is why there are elections. But a review of the Order to remove SA Worrell should send shivers down the backs of every elected official in the state. If allowed, it would tell every elected official that how you run

your office should not be based on the vision you share during your campaign, your own decision-making, or even what your voters want. The message would be that all decisions need to be based on what the governor at the time wants. It would allow the governor to, in effect, have full control over how every elected official makes decisions. That is simply inconsistent with every notion of our system of government.

Specifically as to State Attorneys, deciding how to promote public safety, serving as a leader on criminal justice issues, and protecting the constitutional rights of members of their community are the essence of the job. If these actions serve as the basis for the suspension of SA Worrell, then the job stability of any and all State Attorneys—and their ability to implement what voters elected them to carry out—is on precarious ground.

If the actions at issue here are deemed to be a permissible basis for suspension, then in any case where a prosecutor's decision to prosecute differs from the governor's priorities, the prosecutor elected by her community to make these exact discretionary decisions would need to choose whether to act in accordance with the governor's (and not her own) views or face suspension.

The Order, if left intact, would create the risk that any State Attorney can be suspended whenever a governor disagrees with their—and, necessarily, the voters'—policy priorities. The Order will thus have a chilling effect on the exercise of independent lawful discretion by all state attorneys and also strip communities of their voting rights and prerogative to select prosecutorial leaders who make judgment calls and exercise discretion in a manner they support.

#### IV. The Suspension Order, If Allowed to Stand, Erodes Public Trust in the Justice System, and Thus Threatens Public Safety

By depriving Florida voters of the ability and right to elect their own State Attorney, the Order erodes trust in the fair and appropriate operation of our justice system. This is deeply troubling; communities will suffer if their electoral decisions are not respected and they cannot have faith in the integrity of the rule of law.

Prosecutors depend upon public trust to realize their mission of upholding justice and promoting public safety for all members of the community. When individuals have confidence in legal authorities and view the police, the courts, and the law as legitimate, they are more likely to report crimes, cooperate as witnesses, and accept police and judicial system authority. 11 Prosecutors who engage with their communities can see enhanced public confidence in the criminal justice system, which in turn makes the public more likely to report crimes and to cooperate as witnesses. 12 In contrast, when the public does not trust law enforcement and prosecutors, community members may be less willing to report crimes, serve as witnesses, testify in cases, and generally accept police and judicial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Tom R. Tyler & Jeffrey Fagan, Legitimacy and Cooperation: Why Do People Help the Police Fight Crime in Their Communities?, 6 Ohio St. Crim. L. 231, 263 (2008)(available J. at: https://scholarship.law.columbia.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article= 1388&context=faculty\_scholarship) (hereinafter: Tyler & Fagan); Tom R. Tyler & Jonathan Jackson, Popular Legitimacy and the Exercise of Legal Authority: Motivating Compliance, Cooperation and Engagement, 20 Psych., Pub. Pol'y & L. 78, 78-79 (2014) (available at: https://law.yale.edu/sites/default/files/area/center/justice/docu ment/ssrnpopularlegitimacy.pdf).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Fair and Just Prosecution, *Building Community Trust: Key Principles and Promising Practices in Community Prosecution and Engagement* (2018), <a href="https://fairandjustprosecution.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/FJP\_Brief\_CommunityProsecution.pdf">https://fairandjustprosecution.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/FJP\_Brief\_CommunityProsecution.pdf</a>.

system authority. 13 This reluctance hampers the ability of the police and prosecutors to seek justice and promote public safety.

When a community thinks they will have no say in how their democratically elected officials carry out their jobs, and whether these officials are able to remain in office, public trust in the system suffers, and so does public safety. The community cannot rely on democratic norms, will not think the system is fair, and may, as a result, not feel compelled to participate in it. That result is especially likely here, where the Governor is interfering with the well-established role of duly elected prosecutors and eviscerating the voters' right to choose who should represent them and how.

The erosion of trust that the Order has created, and will continue to create, should thus be of great concern to all who value a system where the roles and independence of elected officials—and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Tyler & Fagan, supra note 11, at 265; German Lopez, Police Have to Repair Community Trust to Effectively do Their Job, Vox (Nov. 14,

https://www.vox.com/identities/2016/8/13/17938262/policeshootings-brutality-black-on-black-crime.

in particular prosecutors—are protected and free from inappropriate, and ultimately undemocratic, political interference.

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should find that Governor DeSantis exceeded his authority in suspending Monique Worrell as State Attorney for the Ninth Judicial Circuit and grant the relief requested by her in the pending writs.

Dated: September 18, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James E. Felman

James E. Felman, FBN 775568 Katherine Earle Yanes, FBN 159727

Kristin A. Norse, FBN 965634

Stuart C. Markman, FBN 322571

Kynes Markman & Felman, P.A.

P.O. Box 3396

Tampa, FL 33601-3396

Phone: 813-229-1118 Fax: 813-221-6750

ifelman@kmf-law.com

kyanes@kmf-law.com

knorse@kmf-law.com

smarkman@kmf-law.com

Counsel for the amici

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of September, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing amicus brief was e-filed though the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal and will be served via the portal upon the following counsel:

Jack E. Fernandez, Jr.
Sara Alpert Lawson
Devon Galloway

jfernandez@zuckerman.com
slawson@zuckerman.com
dgalloway@zuckerman.com

Henry C. Whitaker, Solicitor General
Jeffrey Paul Desousa, Chief Deputy Solicitor General
David M. Costello, Deputy Solicitor General
Robert S. Schenck, Assistant Solicitor General
<a href="mailto:henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com">henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com</a>
Robert.schenck@myfloridalegal.com

Jeffrey.desousa@myfloridalegal.com

Ryan Newman Ryan.newman@eog.myflorida.com

David.costello@myfloridalegal.com

Jeff Aaron
George Levesque
jeff.aaron@gray-robinson.com
george.levesque@gray-robinson.com
cindi.garner@gray-robinson.com
becky.emerson@gray-robinson.com

I further certify that the brief was served by U.S. Mail to the following parties:

Laura G. Ferguson Mark J. Rochon Miller & Chevalier Chartered 900 16th Street NW Black Lives Matter Plaza Washington, DC 20006

/s/ James E. Felman
James E. Felman

# **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I hereby certify that this amicus brief complies with the font requirement by utilizing Bookman Old Style 14-point font as outlined in Rule 9.045(b) of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure and is within the word count as required by Rule 9.370(b) of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. This amicus brief contains 4184 words.

/s/ James E. Felman
James E. Felman

# APPENDIX A LIST OF AMICI

### Chris W. Altenbernd

Retired Judge, Second District Court of Appeal, Florida

### **Harry Lee Anstead**

Former Chief Justice, Florida Supreme Court Former Chief Judge, Fourth District Court of Appeal, Florida

### Roy L. Austin, Jr.

Former Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice

Former Deputy Assistant to President Obama for the Office of Urban Affairs, Justice, and Opportunity (White House Domestic Policy Council)

### **Rosemary Barkett**

Former Chief Justice, Florida Supreme Court Former Judge, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

### Diana Becton

District Attorney, Contra Costa County, California Former Judge, Contra Costa County Superior Court, California

# **Wesley Bell**

Prosecuting Attorney, St. Louis County, Missouri

### Herbert M. Berkowitz

Former Judge (Ret.), Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Court (Hillsborough County), Florida

Former Assistant U.S. Attorney, Northern District of Ohio

# Buta Biberaj

Commonwealth's Attorney, Loudoun County, Virginia

# **Sherry Boston**

District Attorney, DeKalb County, Georgia

#### Chesa Boudin

Former District Attorney, City and County of San Francisco, California

# RaShall Brackney, Ph.D.

Former Police Chief, Charlottesville, Virginia

### Joseph Brann

Former Police Chief, Hayward, California Former Director, Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS Office), U.S. Department of Justice

### Aisha Braveboy

State's Attorney, Prince George's County, Maryland

### Bobbe J. Bridge

Former Justice, Washington Supreme Court

### Jim Bueermann

Former Police Chief, Redlands, California Former President, National Police Foundation

### Chris Burbank

Former Police Chief, Salt Lake City, Utah

### John T. Chisholm

District Attorney, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

#### John Choi

County Attorney, Ramsey County (St. Paul), Minnesota

# Jerry L. Clayton

Sheriff, Washtenaw County (Ann Arbor), Michigan

# **Dave Clegg**

District Attorney, Ulster County, New York

#### Laura Conover

County Attorney, Pima County (Tucson), Arizona

### Shalena Cook Jones

District Attorney, Chatham County (Savannah), Georgia

### **Brendan Cox**

Former Police Chief, Albany, New York

### Henry M. Coxe III

Former Assistant State Attorney and Director of Felony Prosecutions, Fourth Judicial Circuit, Florida

### John Creuzot

District Attorney, Dallas County, Texas Former Judge, Dallas County District Court, Texas

#### **Kara Davis**

District Attorney, Gilliam County, Oregon

### Satana Deberry

District Attorney, Durham County, North Carolina

# Parisa Dehghani-Tafti

Commonwealth's Attorney, Arlington County and the City of Falls Church, Virginia

#### **Steve Descano**

Commonwealth's Attorney, Fairfax County, Virginia

# Michael Dougherty

District Attorney, Twentieth Judicial District (Boulder), Colorado

# Mark Dupree

District Attorney, Wyandotte County (Kansas City), Kansas

#### **Matt Ellis**

District Attorney, Wasco County, Oregon

#### Ramin Fatehi

Commonwealth's Attorney, City of Norfolk, Virginia

### Kimberly M. Foxx

State's Attorney, Cook County (Chicago), Illinois

### Neill Franklin

Former Major, Baltimore Police Department Former Major, Maryland State Police Former Executive Director, Law Enforcement Action Partnership (LEAP)

### William Royal Furgeson, Jr.

Former Judge, U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas

### Gil Garcetti

Former District Attorney, Los Angeles County, California

### Stan Garnett

Former District Attorney, Twentieth Judicial District (Boulder), Colorado

### Delia Garza

County Attorney, Travis County (Austin), Texas

### José Garza

District Attorney, Travis County (Austin), Texas

# George Gascón

District Attorney, Los Angeles County, California
Former District Attorney, City and County of San Francisco,
California
Former Police Chief, San Francisco, California

Former Police Chief, San Francisco, California Former Police Chief, Mesa, Arizona

# Sarah F. George

State's Attorney, Chittenden County (Burlington), Vermont

# **Nancy Gertner**

Former Senior Judge, U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts

### Sim Gill

District Attorney, Salt Lake County, Utah

### Diane Goldstein

Executive Director, Law Enforcement Action Partnership (LEAP) Retired Lieutenant, Redondo Beach, California

#### **Deborah Gonzalez**

District Attorney, Western Judicial Circuit (Athens), Georgia

### Eric Gonzalez

District Attorney, Kings County (Brooklyn), New York

### **Emily Jane Goodman**

Former Justice, New York Supreme Court

### Kimberly Graham

County Attorney, Polk County (Des Moines), Iowa

### Kristin Graziano

Sheriff, Charleston County, South Carolina

# Joseph R. Grodin

Former Associate Justice, California Supreme Court

# **Andrea Harrington**

Former District Attorney, Berkshire County, Massachusetts

# Scott Harshbarger

Former Attorney General, Massachusetts Former District Attorney, Middlesex County, Massachusetts

# Jim Hingeley

Commonwealth's Attorney, Albemarle County, Virginia

# Elizabeth K. Humphries

Commonwealth's Attorney, City of Fredericksburg, Virginia

### Natasha Irving

District Attorney, Sixth Prosecutorial District, Maine

### Melinda Katz

District Attorney, Queens County, New York

# **Alexis King**

District Attorney, First Judicial District, Colorado

### Justin F. Kollar

Former Prosecuting Attorney, County of Kaua'i, Hawaii

### Lawrence S. Krasner

District Attorney, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

### William P. Levens

Former Judge, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Court (Hillsborough County), Florida

### Robert L. Listenbee

Former Administrator, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Department of Justice

#### Karen Loeffler

Former U.S. Attorney, District of Alaska

#### Patricia A. Madrid

Former Attorney General, New Mexico

#### Leesa Manion

Prosecuting Attorney, King County (Seattle), Washington

#### Brian S. Mason

District Attorney, Seventeenth Judicial District, Colorado

#### Beth McCann

District Attorney, Second Judicial District (Denver), Colorado

#### Karen McDonald

Prosecuting Attorney, Oakland County, Michigan

### Garry L. McFadden

Sheriff, Mecklenburg County (Charlotte), North Carolina

# Charmaine McGuffey

Sheriff, Hamilton County, Ohio

# **Ryan Mears**

Prosecuting Attorney, Marion County (Indianapolis), Indiana

### Manuel Menendez

Former Chief Judge, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Court (Hillsborough County), Florida

Former Chief Assistant U.S. Attorney, Middle District of Florida

# **Spencer Merriweather**

District Attorney, Mecklenburg County (Charlotte), North Carolina

# Stephanie Morales

Commonwealth's Attorney, City of Portsmouth, Virginia

# **Mary Moriarty**

County Attorney, Hennepin County (Minneapolis), Minnesota

# **Steve Mulroy**

District Attorney, Shelby County (Memphis), Tennessee

### Jeff Nieman

District Attorney, Chatham and Orange Counties, North Carolina

### Michol O'Connor

Former Justice, First Judicial District Court of Appeals, Texas Former Assistant U.S. Attorney, Southern District of Texas

#### Jerome O'Neill

Former U.S. Attorney, District of Vermont

#### **Barbara Pariente**

Former Chief Justice, Florida Supreme Court

### James E.C. Perry

Former Justice, Florida Supreme Court

# **Channing Phillips**

Former U.S. Attorney, District of Columbia Former Senior Counselor to the Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice

# Joseph Platania

Commonwealth's Attorney, City of Charlottesville, Virginia

#### **Richard Pocker**

Former U.S. Attorney, District of Nevada

#### Pamela Price

District Attorney, Alameda County, California

# Abdul D. Pridgen

Police Chief, San Leandro, California Former Police Chief, Seaside, California

# **Peggy Quince**

Former Chief Justice, Florida Supreme Court Former Judge, Second District Court of Appeal, Florida

### Dalia Racine

District Attorney, Douglas County, Georgia

#### Ira Reiner

Former District Attorney, Los Angeles County, California Former City Attorney, Los Angeles, California

#### Mimi Rocah

District Attorney, Westchester County, New York

### Jeff Rosen

District Attorney, Santa Clara County, California

# Stephen Rosenthal

Former Attorney General, Virginia

### Marian Ryan

District Attorney, Middlesex County, Massachusetts

# Stephen Saltzburg

Former Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice

# Jacqueline Sartoris

District Attorney, Cumberland County (Portland), Maine

### **Dan Satterberg**

Former Prosecuting Attorney, King County (Seattle), Washington

### Eli Savit

Prosecuting Attorney, Washtenaw County (Ann Arbor), Michigan

### Shira A. Scheindlin

Former Judge, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York

### Mike Schmidt

District Attorney, Multnomah County (Portland), Oregon

### **Brian Schwalb**

Attorney General, District of Columbia

#### **Carol Siemon**

Former Prosecuting Attorney, Ingham County (Lansing), Michigan

### **Neal Sonnett**

Former Assistant U.S. Attorney, Southern District of Florida

### Eric Sparr

District Attorney, Winnebago County, Wisconsin

# Norm Stamper

Former Police Chief, Seattle, Washington

# **Darrel Stephens**

Former Police Chief, Charlotte-Mecklenburg, North Carolina Former Executive Director, Major City Chiefs Association

### David Sullivan

District Attorney, Northwestern District, Massachusetts

### Carolyn Engel Temin

Former Judge, Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas, Pennsylvania

# James Tierney

Former Attorney General, Maine

#### Laurence H. Tribe

Former Senior Counselor, Office for Access to Justice, U.S. Department of Justice

# Anthony F. Troy

Former Attorney General, Virginia

### **Matthew Van Houten**

District Attorney, Tompkins County, New York

# Cyrus R. Vance

Former District Attorney, New York County (Manhattan), New York

#### Tori Verber Salazar

Former District Attorney, San Joaquin County, California

### John Walsh

Former U.S. Attorney, District of Colorado Former Chair, Attorney General's Advisory Committee

### T. John Ward

Former Judge, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas

### Lynneice Washington

District Attorney, Jefferson County, Bessemer District, Alabama

### Seth Waxman

Former Solicitor General, U.S. Department of Justice

### **Todd Williams**

District Attorney, Buncombe County (Asheville), North Carolina

### Jared Williams

District Attorney, Augusta Judicial Circuit, Georgia

### William Wilmoth

Former U.S. Attorney, Northern District of West Virginia

# Law Enforcement Action Partnership (LEAP)