

JOINT STATEMENT FROM ELECTED PROSECUTORS

June 2019

As elected prosecutors, we are committed to protecting the safety and well-being of all individuals in our communities. We are also charged with protecting the integrity of our justice system and upholding the Constitution and rule of law. Fulfilling these obligations goes hand-in-hand. We know from our collective experience that when communities trust us and see the fair, equitable, and sensible exercise of prosecutorial discretion, they are more inclined to work with all parts of our justice system as we seek to promote safer and healthier communities.

Several states have recently passed, or are considering the passage of, broad restrictions on abortion. Many of these new enactments are ambiguous or silent as to whom they would hold criminally responsible, leaving open the potential for criminalizing patients, medical professionals, healthcare providers, and possibly others who assist in these medical procedures. By way of example:

- Alabama's recently passed law imposes penalties of a minimum of 10 years and up to 99 years imprisonment for doctors who perform abortions – and contains no carve out for victims of rape or incest.¹
- Missouri's law bans abortion starting at around eight weeks of pregnancy, which is often before a woman even knows she is pregnant, with no exceptions for rape or incest – and doctors face between 5 to 15 years in prison.²
- Under Georgia's recent measure, a District Attorney would have the discretion to bring criminal charges and seek imprisonment against anyone and everyone involved in performing and assisting with an abortion; moreover, there is no explicit prohibition under this law against criminalizing women and patients who make these medical choices.³

Doctors, nurses, anesthetists, health care providers, office receptionists – virtually anyone who either performs or assists in performing or arranging what is currently a legal medical procedure based on precedent for almost a half century – and in some states, even the patient herself, could face criminal liability under these statutes.

As elected prosecutors, we took an oath to uphold both the U.S. Constitution and the Constitutions of our individual states. Our U.S. Supreme Court, in deciding *Roe v. Wade*, determined that every woman has a fundamental right to privacy which is “broad enough to encompass a woman's decision whether or not to terminate her pregnancy.”⁴ As some elected prosecutors have noted, the broad restrictions in the laws passed by these states appear to be unconstitutional under *Roe v. Wade*.⁵ Many of us share those legal views, but our commitment to not prosecute women who obtain abortions and health care professionals who provide treatment is not predicated on these concerns alone.

Not all of us agree on a personal or moral level on the issue of abortion. And not all of us are in states where women's rights are threatened by statutes criminalizing abortion. What brings us together is our view that *as prosecutors* we should not and will not criminalize healthcare

decisions such as these – and we believe it is our obligation as elected prosecutors charged with protecting the health and safety of *all* members of our community to make our views clear.

Prosecutors are entrusted with immense discretion. With this discretion, comes the obligation to use it wisely to seek justice. And, at the heart of the pursuit of justice, must necessarily be the furtherance of policies and practices that protect the well-being and safety of all members of our community.

Resources in our criminal justice system are inherently limited. We believe that the wise use of discretion requires balancing these limited resources, while meeting the safety needs of our communities. In our view, resources are better utilized to prevent and address serious crimes that impact our community rather than enforcing laws such as these that divide our community, create untenable choices for women and healthcare providers, and erode trust in the justice system.

Further, these measures fail to consider the needs and suffering of victims of child molestation, rape, incest, human trafficking, or domestic violence, many of whom experience long-term trauma.⁶ Over the past several decades, law enforcement has rightly worked to adopt evidence-based, trauma-informed approaches that include recognizing that not all victims of such crimes are able or willing to immediately report them, and that delays in reporting, or a reticence to report, are consistent with the experience of trauma.⁷ We also know, as prosecutors, that all too often the process of reporting is retraumatizing and not consistent with the needs of victims.⁸ Although some states have carved out exceptions for victims of rape and incest, these recent enactments by and large ignore the reality and suffering of victims. These laws do not take into account that victims may not report an incident of sexual assault within the laws' specified time, or even report at all, and essentially force victims to live with the choice of reporting and disclosing the details of their sexual assault, or risk being connected to their abuser for life.

Laws that revictimize and retraumatize victims are unconscionable. It is a prosecutor's obligation to protect and seek justice on behalf of all members of our community, including victims who are often the most vulnerable and least empowered. The wise exercise of discretion suggests focusing prosecutorial resources on the child molester or rapist, and not on prosecuting the victim herself, or the healthcare professionals who provide that victim with needed care and treatment.

Keeping communities safe inherently requires promoting trust,⁹ which would be deeply eroded by the enforcement of these laws. To best promote public safety, prosecutors must be perceived by their communities as trustworthy, legitimate, and fair – values that would be undermined by the enforcement of laws which harm and force unnecessarily difficult and traumatizing decisions on many in our community.

In sum, as elected prosecutors with charging discretion, we choose not to prosecute individuals pursuant to these deeply concerning laws. Legal precedent, as established by the highest court in the land, has held for nearly 50 years that women have a right to make decisions about their own medical care including, but not limited to, seeking an abortion. Enforcement of laws that criminalize healthcare decisions would shatter that precedent, impose untenable choices on

victims and healthcare providers, and erode trust in the integrity of our justice system. To fulfill our obligations as prosecutors and ministers of justice to preserve the integrity of the system and keep our communities safe and healthy, it is imperative that we use our discretion to decline to prosecute personal healthcare choices criminalized under such laws.

Respectfully,

Xavier Becerra

Attorney General, California

Diana Becton

District Attorney, Contra Costa County, California

Sherry Boston

District Attorney, DeKalb County, Georgia

Danny Carr

District Attorney, Jefferson County, Alabama

John T. Chisholm

District Attorney, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

David Cooke

District Attorney, Macon Judicial Circuit, Georgia

John Creuzot

District Attorney, Dallas County, Texas

Satana Deberry

District Attorney, Durham County, North Carolina

Thomas J. Donovan, Jr.

Attorney General, Vermont

Michael Dougherty

District Attorney, 20th Judicial District, Colorado

Mark Dupree

District Attorney, Wyandotte County, Kansas

Keith Ellison

Attorney General, Minnesota

Aaron Ford

Attorney General, Nevada

Brian E. Frosh

Attorney General, Maryland

George Gascón

District Attorney, City and County of San Francisco, California

Sarah George

State's Attorney, Chittenden County, Vermont

Sim Gill

District Attorney, Salt Lake County, Utah

Joe Gonzales

District Attorney, Bexar County, Texas

Eric Gonzalez

District Attorney, Kings County, New York

Mark Gonzalez

District Attorney, Nueces County, Texas

Andrea Harrington

District Attorney, Berkshire County, Massachusetts

Maura Healey

Attorney General, Massachusetts

Paul Howard

District Attorney, Fulton County, Georgia

Kathleen Jennings

Attorney General, Delaware

Zachary Klein

City Attorney, Columbus, Ohio

Lawrence S. Krasner

District Attorney, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Beth McCann

District Attorney, Second Judicial District, Colorado

Brian Middleton

District Attorney, Fort Bend County, Texas

Stephanie Morales

Commonwealth's Attorney, Portsmouth, Virginia

Marilyn J. Mosby

State's Attorney, Baltimore City, Maryland

Dana Nessel

Attorney General, Michigan

Karl A. Racine

Attorney General, District of Columbia

Kwame Raoul

Attorney General, Illinois

Rachael Rollins

District Attorney, Suffolk County, Massachusetts

Jeff Rosen

District Attorney, Santa Clara County, California

Ellen Rosenblum

Attorney General, Oregon

Marian T. Ryan

District Attorney, Middlesex County, Massachusetts

Dan Satterberg

Prosecuting Attorney, King County, Washington

Josh Shapiro

Attorney General, Pennsylvania

Carol A. Siemon

Prosecuting Attorney, Ingham County, Michigan

Raúl Torrez

District Attorney, Bernalillo County, New Mexico

Cyrus R. Vance, Jr.

District Attorney, New York County, New York

****Additional elected prosecutors interested in joining the statement should contact FJP Executive Director Miriam Krinsky at mkrinsky@fairandjustprosecution.org to be added.**

¹ Alabama House Bill 314, May 15, 2019, available at <https://legiscan.com/AL/text/HB314/id/2018876/Alabama-2019-HB314-Enrolled.pdf>. See also, Michelle Lou, “Alabama doctors who perform abortions could face up to 99 years in prison -- the same as rapists and murderers,” CNN, May 15, 2019, available at <https://www.cnn.com/2019/05/15/us/alabama-abortion-law-felony-trnd/index.html>; Caroline Kelly, “Alabama governor signs nation's most restrictive anti-abortion bill into law,” CNN, May 16, 2019, available at <https://www.cnn.com/2019/05/15/politics/alabama-governor-signs-bill/index.html>.

² Missouri House Bill No. 126, April 18, 2019, available at <https://www.house.mo.gov/billtracking/bills191/hlrbillspdf/0461S.04C.pdf>; Associated Press, “Missouri bill banning abortions at 8 weeks signed into law by Gov. Mike Parson,” CBS, May 24, 2019, available at <https://www.cbsnews.com/live-news/missouri-abortion-law-mike-parson-signs-bill-banning-abortion-8-weeks-today-2019-05-24-live-updates/>.

³ Georgia House Bill 481, May 7, 2019, available at <http://www.legis.ga.gov/Legislation/en-US/display/20192020/HB/481>. See also Kathryn Tucker, “DA Warns Women They Can Be Charged With Murder Under New Abortion Law,” Law.com, May 22, 2019, available at <https://www.law.com/dailyreportonline/2019/05/22/da-warns-women-they-can-be-charged-with-murder-under-new-abortion-law/>.

⁴ *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 153 (1973).

⁵ Statement of Salt Lake County District Attorney Sim Gill on Order Entered Today in Planned Parenthood’s Legal Challenge to HB136, “Abortion Amendments,” Office of the Salt Lake City District Attorney, May 13, 2019, available at <https://slco.org/district-attorney/press-releases/Order-Entered-PP/>; Morgan Gstalter, “District attorneys near Atlanta vow not to prosecute women who get abortions,” The Hill, May 18, 2019, available at <https://thehill.com/homenews/state-watch/444403-district-attorneys-near-atlanta-vow-not-to-prosecute-women-who-get>.

⁶ Starre Vartan, “The Lifelong Consequences of Rape,” Pacific Standard, Jun. 14, 2017, available at <https://psmag.com/social-justice/lifelong-consequences-rape-96056>.

⁷ Robert Muller, “Rape Victims' Reactions Misunderstood by Law Enforcement,” Psychology Today, Jan. 11, 2019, available at <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/talking-about-trauma/201801/rape-victims-reactions-misunderstood-law-enforcement>.

⁸ Office on Violence Against Women, “The Importance of Understanding Trauma-Informed Care and Self-Care for Victim Service Providers,” U.S. Department of Justice, July 30, 2014, available at <https://www.justice.gov/archives/ovw/blog/importance-understanding-trauma-informed-care-and-self-care-victim-service-providers>.

⁹ Yucel Ors, “6 Essential Tenets for Effective Community Policing,” National League of Cities, March 21, 2016, available at <http://www.nlc.org/article/6-essential-tenets-for-effective-community-policing>.